

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,337	762,337 01/23/2004		Jeffrey A. Chambers	LP 4965 CNT	4968
23906	7590	04/07/2005		EXAM	INER
		IOURS AND C	VU, STEPHEN A		
		RDS CENTER		10010	D. DED . V. D. DED
BARLEY M	IILL PLAZA	25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE		3636		
WILMINGT	TON, DE 19	805			

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Surrena	10/762,337	CHAMBERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen A Vu	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	lanuary 200 <u>5</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D						

Application/Control Number: 10/762,337

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sundstedt et al (#5,384,946).

Sundstedt et al show a method for manufacturing a stretch slipcover (A) comprising (a) providing a stretch slipcover (7) having an elastic recovery force such that the stretch slipcover doesn't require a second fabric having a greater elastic recovery force, (b) stretching the stretch slip cover of step (a) over a resilient cushion (8), (c) releasing the stretch slipcover to allow the stretch slipcover to grip the resilient cushion.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,337

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sundstedt et al (#5,384,946) in view of Moss (#6,354,661).

The limitation in claim 17 defines the fabric as being a spandex material. The term "elastane" is another name for spandex according to the wikipedia website (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elastane).

Sundstedt et al disclose the claimed invention except for the fabric to be a spandex material.

Moss teaches a cover (22) being composed of a spandex material (see col. 2, lines 38-41), wherein the spandex material is able to be stretched repetitively and still recover its original length and is resistant to body oils, perspiration, lotions, and detergents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct Sundstedt et al's slipcover (7) using a spandex material as taught by Moss, because the spandex material is able to be stretched repetitively and still recover its original length and is resistant to body oils, perspiration, lotions, and detergents.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim January 3, 2005 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3636

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A Vu whose telephone number is 703-308-1378 or (571)272-6862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827 or (571)272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,337 Page 5

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Vu

March 29, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600